

FINAL CA – May 2018 DIRECT TAXATION

Test Code – F29 Branch: MULTIPLE Date: 14.01.2018

(50 Marks)

Note: All questions are compulsory.

Question 1 (4 marks)

The following remedies are available to Mr. D under the Income-tax Act, 1961:

- File an appeal before the Commissioner (Appeals): D can file an appeal under section 246A, against the order of assessment under section 143(3), to the Commissioner (Appeals). An appeal can be filed by an assesse even against inclusion in assessment, of such income erroneously included by him in the return of income. (1 ½ mark)
- 2. **File a revision petition:** in the alternative, D can file a revision petition under section 264 with the Commissioner of Income-tax seeking exemption of interest from Relief Bonds, not claimed in the return of income and not allowed in the order of assessment. **(1 ½ mark)**
- 3. **File an application for rectification:** The other course of action D could take is to file an application under section 154 with the Assessing Officer, seeking rectification of the order of assessment made. The consistent judicial view is that exemption not claimed by the assesse ant not allowed by the Assessing Officer, though the material relating thereto was in the return of income, constitutes a mistake apparent from the record. **(1 mark)**

Question 2 (6 marks) (3 marks each)

<u>Rectification of assessment order under section 154 due to subsequent change of law on</u> <u>retrospective basis.</u>

If the assessment order is plainly and obviously inconsistent with the specific and clear provision as amended retrospectively, indisputably there is a mistake apparent from record. In the light of the retrospective amendment, the assessment order had to be revised.

If there is a retrospective amendment in law disallowing an expense / taxing a receipt and in assessment order the expenses has been allowed / receipt has been held as non – taxable, then there is a mistake apparent from record in the order of A.O.

In view of this, the Assessing Officer can, under section 154, rectify the order of assessment, in the light of the later amendment of the law with retrospective effect.

If there is a retrospective amendment in law, the same can be given effect to by passing & rectification order under section 155. However, the period of limitation of four years shall apply.

<u>Rectification of assessment order under section 154 on account of subsequent</u> judgement of Supreme Court

CBDT Circular: A mistake arising as a result of subsequent interpretation of law by the Supreme Court would constitute a "mistake apparent from records" and rectification under section 154 is possible.

Where an assesse moves an application under section 154 pointing out that in light of a letter Supreme Court judgement, a mistake has occurred in any of the completed assessments, then rectification under section 154 shall be made provided that the rectification application is made within the prescribed period of four years.

The Income-tax authority can disallow a deduction under section 154 on the basis of a letter Supreme Court judgement. But in this case, the rectification order must be passed within the prescribed period of four years.

Question 3 (10 marks)

As per section 50B, any profits or gains arising from the slump sale of the undertaking effected in the previous year shall be chargeable to income-tax as capital gains and shall be deemed to be the income of the previous year in which the transfer took place. If the assesse owned undertaking transferred under slump sale for more than 36 months before the date of transfer, then the capital gain shall be deemed to be **long-term capital gains. (1 mark)**

Indexation benefit is not available in case of slump sale.

Particulars	•
Slump sale consideration	3,00,00,000
Less: Cost of acquisition (net worth) [See working Note below]	1,00,00,000
Long – term capital gain (as Unit – 2 is held for more than 36 months]	2,00,00,000
Calculation of tax liability	
Income tax @20% on long term capital gain under section 112	40,00,000
Surcharge @7%, since total income exceeds `1 crore but does not exceed `10	2,80,000
crore	
	42,80,000
Education cess @ 2% and Secondary and higher education cess @ 1%	1,28,000
Total tax liability	44,08,400

Ascertainment of tax liability of Alpha Ltd. From slump sale of Unit – 2 (3 marks)

Working Note: Net Worth of Unit - 2 (2 mark)

WDV of block of assets	75,00,000
Debtors	68,00,000
Inventories	22,00,000
	1,65,00,000
Less: Liabilities	65,00,000
Net Worth	1,00,00,000

ii. Tax Advice (4 marks)

(a) Transfer of any capital asset by a holding company to its 100% Indian subsidiary company is exempt from tax under section 47. Therefore, if it is possible for Alpha Ltd., it should try to acquire the entire shareholding of Beta Ltd and make Beta Ltd its wholly owned subsidiary. Thereafter, upon slump sale, the resultant capital gain shall not attract tax liability.

However, in such case also, Alpha Ltd. Should not transfer any shares in Beta Ltd. for 8 years from the date of slump sale.

(b) Alternatively, if acquisition of 15% shares is not feasible, Alpha Ltd. may think about demerger plan of Unit 2 to get the exemption from tax by virtue of section 47.

Question 4 (16 marks) Computation of Total Income of BM Private Ltd. For the A.Y. 2018-19

	Particulars	Amount		
	Income from house property [Rental	2,50,000		
	income from commercial property]			
	Net Annual Value			
I	Less: Deduction under section 24			
	30% of Net Annual Value	75,000	1,75,000 (2 marks)	
	Profits and gains of business and	77,50,000		
	profession			
	Profits from manufacturing business			
	[See Working Note below]			
П	Less: Set off of losses from trading in			
	derivatives in a recognized stock			
	exchange [allowed to be set –off against			
	profits from the business of			
	manufacturing as per section 70(1)	2,50,000		
	since it is not speculative in nature.	_,,	75,00,000	
	Capital Gains			
	Sale consideration	50,00,000		
	Less: Indexed Cost of Acquisition	4945,455		
	[`40,00,000 x 272/220]			
	Long term capital gains		54,545 (2 marks)	
	Income from other sources		54,545 (2 marks)	
	Rent received from vacant land	1 20 000		
		1,20,000		
	Interest received on income –tax-refund	1,00,000	42.00.000 (2 monto)	
	Excess of issue price of shares over the	40,00,000	42,00,000 (2 marks)	
	fair market value of shares is taxable as			
	per section 56(2)(viib) in the case of BM			
	Pvt. Ltd. Not being a company in which			
	public are substantially interested [`40			
)i.e, `100 - 60] x 1,00,000			
	shares]			
	Gross Total Income		1,19,49,545	
	Less: Deduction under Chapter VI A			
	Deduction under section 80G			
	Donation to Swach Baharat Kosh	1,00,000		
	[qualaifies for 100% deduction –			
	assuming that the same has not been			
	spent in pursuance of corporate social			
	responsibility under section 135 (5) of			
	the companies Act, 2013]			
	Deduction under section 80GGB			
	Contribution to Political Party [Not	Nil		
	allowable as deduction since the			
	contribution is made in cash]		1,00,000 (1 mark)	
	Total Income		1,18,49,545 (1 mark)	

Working Note:

Computation of profits and gains from the business of manufacturing (8 marks)

Particulars	Amount (`)	
Profits and Gains from Business and Profession Net Profit		
as per profit and loss account		90,00,000
Add : Item debited but to be considered separately		
(i)Donation paid to Swach Bharat Kosh, considered	1,00,000	

separately		
[Not an expenditure incurred wholly and exclusively for		
the manufacturing business. Hence, not allowable under		
section, 37]		
(ii) Contribution to political party	2,00,000	
[Not an expenditure incurred wholly and exclusively for		
the manufacturing business. Hence nor allowable under		
section 37]		
(iii) Payment to transport contractor		
[As per section 194C (6), no tax is required to be deducted		
at source since the payment is to a transport contractor		
not having more than 10 goods carriages at any time		
during the process year and he has given a declaration to		
that effect along with his PAN. Hence, disallowance under		
-		
section 40(a)(ia) for non – deduction of tax at source is		
not attracted. Also, since payment is made by account		
payee cheque, no disallowance under section 40A(3) is		
attracted].		
(iv) Bonus to employee	2,00,000	
[Since the payment is made after due date is filing of		
return of income, disallowance under section 43B is		
attracted]		
(v) Provision for income –tax (including interest of ` 50,000	3,00,000	
thereon)		
(Net allowable as deduction. Disallowance under section		
40(a)(ii) is attracted.		
(vi) Loss from trading in futures and options (derivatives)	2,50,000	
in stock in a recognized stock exchange)	, ,	
(Since loss from trading in futures and options in stock is		
not related of the business of manufacturing, the same is		
not incurred wholly and exclusively for this business and		
hence, is not allowable as deduction under section 37,		
while computing profits from the business of		
manufacturing]. However, such loss can be set off under		
section 70.		
		10 50 000
		10,50,000
		10,50,000
OTHER ADJUSTMENTS		
Add: Cash Payment for the purchase of raw material		
deemed as income		
[Since the provisions for outstanding bill for purchase of		50,000
raw material has been allowed as deduction during the		50,000
P.Y. 2014-15, cash payment in excess of `10,000 against		
such bill in the P.Y. 2017-18 would be deemed as income		
of P.Y 2017-18 as per section 40A[(3A)]		
		1,01,00,000
Less : Expenditure to be allowed	5,75,500	
Depreciation	, -,	
[difference between the normal depreciation of		
17.75 lakhs as per Income tax- Act, 1961 [See Note		
below] and depreciation charged to the statement of		
profit and loss of `12 lakhs]		
Note – Printers and scanners form an integral part of the		
computer system and they cannot be used without the		
computer. Hence, they are part of the computer system, they would be eligible for depreciation at the higher rate		
I TROV WOULD BE AUGINIA FOR DEPRODUCTION AT THE HIGHER RATE	1	1

of 60% applicable to computers inclu software. However, EPABX is not a con hence, not entitled to higher depreciation (mputer and is,		
Particulars	· ·		
Depreciation computed as per Income tax Act, 1961	20,00,000		
Less: Depreciation @ 60 % wrongly provided in respect of EPABX = 60% of ` 5,00,000			
	17,00,000		
Add :Depreciation @ 15% on EPABX = 15% of ` 5,00,000	75,000		
Correct Depreciation as per Income tax act, 1961	17,75,000		
Less : Additional depreciation on new plant and machinery Since plant and machinery was purchased only on 15.10.2017, it was put to use for less than 180 days during the year. Hence additional depreciation is to be restricted to 10% (i.e, 50 % of 20%) of `20 lakhs		2,00,000	
Less : Audit Fees relating to P.Y. 2016-17 [` 30,000, being 30% of audit fees of ` 1,00,000 provided for in the books of account of P.Y. 2016-17 would have been disallowed due to non- deduction and deposit of tax at source. Since tax has been deducted in September, 2017 and paid on 6.10.2017, the amount of ` 30,000 is deductible while computing business income of P.Y. 2017- 18		30,000	
Less : Contribution to University [Contribution to a University approved and notified under section 35(1) (ii) would qualify for weighted deduction @150%. Since ` 1,50,000 has already been debited to the statement of profit and loss, the balance ` 75,000 has to be deducted while computing business income]		75,000	8,80,000
Less :Items credited to the statement of but not includible in business income.	profit and loss,		
(I) Rent received from vacant land (Cha under the head "Income from other Source	-	1,20,000	
(II) Rent received from commercial property owned by the company (Chargeable to tax under the head "Income from house property")		2,50,000	
(III) Interest received on income land (Ch under the head "Capital Gains"	argeable to tax	1,00,000	
(IV) Profit on sale of unused land (Chargeable to tax under the head "Capital Gains").		10,00,000	14,70,000
Profits and Gains from the business of ma	nufacturing		77,50,000

Question 5 (10 marks) (2 marks for each point)

Doutioulous	Tax treatment in the hands of		
Particulars	KDS Realty Trust (REIT)	Unit Holders	
Rental income of ` 3crores of REIT from directly owned real estate assets	Any income of a business trust, being a REIT, by way of renting or leasing or letting out any real estate asset owned directly by such business trust is exempt in its hands as per section 10(23FCA). Consequently, the rental income is exempt in the hands of the REIT. As per section 194LBA, REIT has to deduct tax@10% in case of distribution of such rental income component to a resident unit holder and at rates in force in case of distribution to a non-resident unit holder.	The distributed income or any part thereof, received by a unit holder from the REIT. Which is in the nature of income by way of renting or leasing or letting out any real estate asset owned directly by such REIT is deemed of the unit holder as per section 115UA(3), therefore, such income is taxable in their hands. The component of rental income received from REIT in the hands of each unit holder would be determined in the proportion of 3/11 by virtue of section 115UA (1)	
Short-term capital gains of ` 1.5crore on sale of listed shares of Brahma Ltd.	As per section 115UA(2), the total income of a business trust shall be chargeable to tax at the maximum marginal rate, subject to the provisions of sections 111A and 112. Accordingly, KDS Realty Trust is liable to tax@15% under section 111A in respect of short-term capital gains on sale of listed shares of special purpose vehicle i.e., Brahma Ltd.	(1). Any distributed income referred to in section 115UA, to the extent it does not comprise of interest referred to in section 10(23FC) and rental income referred to in section 10(23FCA), received by unit holders is exempt in their hands under section 10(23FD). Hence, the short-term capital gain component in the hands of the unit holders.	
	No tax is deductible at source on the short-term capital gain component of income distributed by the REIT to the unit holders.		
Short-term capital gains of ` 2crore on sale of developmental properties.	Further the short-term capital gains of ` 2crore on sale of developmental properties is taxable at maximum marginal rate: in the hands of the KDS Realty Trust as per section 115UA(2) No tax is deductible at source on the short-term capital gain	-	
	 Scrores of REIT from directly owned real estate assets Short-term capital gains of ` 1.5crore on sale of listed shares of Brahma Ltd. Short-term capital gains of ` 2crore on sale of developmental 	ParticularsKDS Realty Trust (REIT)Rental income of ' 3crores of REIT from directly owned real estate assetsAny income of a business trust, being a REIT, by way of renting or leasing or letting out any real estate asset owned directly by such business trust is exempt in its hands as per section 10(23FCA). Consequently, the rental income is exempt in the hands of the REIT.As per section 194LBA, REIT has to deduct tax@10% in case of distribution of such rental income component to a resident unit holder and at rates in force in case of distribution to a non-resident unit holder.Short-term capital gains of ` 1.5crore on sale of listed shares of Brahma Ltd.As per section 115UA(2), the total income of a business trust shall be chargeable to tax at the maximum marginal rate, subject to the provisions of sections 111A and 112.Accordingly, KDS Realty Trust is liable to tax@15% under section 111A in respect of short-term capital gains on sale of listed shares of special purpose vehicle i.e., Brahma Ltd.Short-term capital gains of ` 2crore on sale of developmental properties.Further the short-term capital gain component of income distributed by the REIT to the unit holders.Short-term capital gains of ` 2crore on sale of developmental properties.Further the short-term capital gains of ` 2crore on sale of developmental properties is taxable at maximum marginal rate: in the hands of the KDS Realty Trust as per section 115UA(2) No tax is deductible at source on taxable at maximum marginal rate: in the hands of the KDS	

4.	Interest of `1crore received in respect	Interest income received in respect of investment in unlisted	No tax liability on interest component of income
	of investment in	debentures of real estate	distributed to unit holders in
	unlisted debentures	companies is taxable at the	their hands by virtue of section
	of real estate	maximum marginal rate, in the	10(23FD).
	companies	hands of the KDS Realty Trust as	
		per section 115UA(2). No tax is	
		deductible at source on the	
		interest component of the income	
		is distributed to the unit holders	
		by the REIT.	
5.	Dividend income of	There would be no tax liability in	No tax liability on the dividend
	` 3.50crore from	the hands of the business trust	component of income
	Brahma Ltd.	since dividend is subject to	distributed to unit holders in
		dividend distribution tax in the	their hands by virtue of section
		hands of Brahma Ltd; Hence, the	10(23FD).
		dividend income is exempt in the	
		hands of the business trust under	
		section 10(34).	
		No tax is deductible at source on	
		the dividend component of the	
		income distributed to the unit	
		holders by the REIT. However, as	
		per section 115BBDA the dividend	
		of ` 3.40crores is taxable in hands	
		of business trust @ 10%.	

Question 6 (4 marks) (2 marks for each point)

Where the Authority finds, on a representation made to it by the Commissioner or otherwise, that an advance ruling pronounced by it has been obtained by the applicant by fraud or misrepresentation of facts, it may, ny order declare such ruling to be void - ab - initio.

Thereupon, all the provisions of the Act shall apply (after excluding the period beginning with the date of such advance ruling and ending with the date of the order declaring the advance ruling as void) to the applicant as of such advance ruling has never been made.
